|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | 10/534,857   | CANARD ET AL.  |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN   | 2431   |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application: <u>pending</u>                                |  |
| (1) MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN.   | (3)  |  |
| (2) <u>Ian Blum</u> .   | (4)  |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>1 July 2010</u>   | Time: <u>11:00am</u>   |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | cant's representative)   |  |
| Part I.   |  |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  n/a  |  |  |
| Claims discussed: n/a   |  |  |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a  |  |  |
| Part II.  |  |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL Examiner proposed an amendment to fix the specification form action.  |  |  |
| Part III.   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul> | he examiner will provide a writter<br>record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| /M. R. V./<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2431   | (Applicant/Applicant's Representat                                   | tive Signature – if appropriate)                           |